



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,766	08/06/2003	Vincent Muniere	Q76546	6869
23373	7590 08/24/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			AFSHAR, KAMRAN	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,766	MUNIERE, VINCENT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kamran Afshar, 571-272-7796	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoration of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n	
	 ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. correction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Appl he priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	- 1- /	ail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/06/2003</u>. 	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Molno (U.S. Pub. No.: 2001/0030949 A1).

With respect to claims 1-2, 14-16, Molno discloses a mobile station, mobile radio network equipment, mobile radio system and / or a method of allocating packet mode resources in a mobile radio system in which (See e.g. EDGE packet data transfer channel, transfer mode, cellular communication system, etc. Pages 1-2, ¶ [0014]) a mobile station can send different types of packet mode resource requests to the network (See e.g. 41-49 of Fig. 7), corresponding to different transfer modes (See e.g. bit data rate, coding, modulation, bandwidth, circuit switch mode, packet switch mode, different bit rate, high bit rate, delay sensitive, etc., Page 1, ¶ [0005]) that inherently can be supported by the mobile station (See e.g. 41, 42 of Fog. 7), a mobile station inherently being able to use one or the other of request types (See e.g. See e.g. bit data rate, coding, modulation, bandwidth, circuit switch mode, packet switch mode, etc. Page 1, ¶ [0006]) corresponding to transfer modes that it supports, in accordance with its requirements, in which method, for signaling data transfer in the uplink direction, the signaling inherently being liable to generate an allocation of packet mode resources (See e.g. PSACCH, PTCCH, Page 2, ¶ [0015]) in the uplink direction for user data transfer (See e.g. signaling, signal, Page 1, ¶ [0001]), ¶ [0011], Page 2, ¶ [0014]), a mobile station uses a type of packet mode resource request corresponding to a transfer mode best suited to the requirements of the user data transfer (See e.g. Page 2, ¶ [0014]) and /

or including a cause specifying signaling data transfer requirements (See e.g. delay sensitive, Page 2, ¶ [0015]).

Regarding claim 3, Molno discloses different transfer modes supported correspond to different bit rates available (See e.g. high data rates, Page 1, ¶ [0005], data rate over 384 kbps, Page 1, ¶ [0006]).

Regarding claim 4, Molno discloses different bit rates available correspond to different modulation schemes available (See e.g. GMSK modulation, 8PSK modulation, Page 1, ¶ [0005]).

Regarding claim 5, Molno discloses different transfer modes include a General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode and an Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode (See e.g. Page 1, ¶ [0008]).

Regarding claim 6, Molno discloses one transfer mode best suited to the requirements of user data transfer corresponds to a transfer mode authorizing the highest bit rate (See e.g. high data rates, Page 1, ¶ [0005], data rate over 384 kbps, Page 1, ¶ [0006]).

Regarding claim 7, Molno discloses one transfer mode best suited to the requirements of user data transfer corresponds to the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode (See e.g. page 1, ¶ [0008]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molno (U.S. Pub. No.: 2001/0030949 A1) in view of Hautamaki (U.S. Pub. No.: 2001/0038614 A1) further in view of Ramjee (U.S. Patent 6,842,462 B1).

Regarding claim 8, Molno discloses everything as discussed above in rejected claim 1. In an analogous field of endeavor, Hautamaki discloses allocation of resources and /or channels (page 4, ¶

[0028, Lines 15-19) and signaling data transfer (See e.g. signaling diagram of Figs. 2-4), signaling messages in accordance with a mobility management protocol (See e.g. 104 of Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide above teaching of Hautamaki to Molno to signaling data transfer requirements include requirements for transfer of signaling messages in accordance with a mobility management protocol. The motivation comes from Ramjee, so that the mobility management protocol is performed (See e.g. Co. 3, Line 67) to supports registration, authentication, paging, and handoff, a/k/a cell reselection, as well as procedures for channel access to transmit data packets etc. (See e.g. Co. 5, Lines 56-58).

Regarding claim 9, Ramjee discloses signaling messages (See e.g. Co. 7, Line 35, Co. 7, Lines 65-67) include a cell update message sent in the event of cell reselection during a current user data transfer (See e.g. Co. 8, Lines 56-63).

Regarding claim 10, Hautamaki discloses include a paging response message in packet mode prior to a transfer of user data in the downlink direction (See e.g. Page 3, ¶ [0016], 306 of Fig. 3a-3b).

Regarding claim 11, Hautamaki discloses user data transfer includes a transfer of data in accordance with the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) (See e.g. (TCP) Page 1, ¶ (0006]).

Regarding claim 12, Hautamaki discloses a message used to transmit a type of packet mode resource request that corresponds to a transfer mode best suited to the requirements of a user data transfer is the EGPRS PACKET CHANNEL REQUEST message (See e.g. 307 of Figs. 3a, 3c, 3d, and Page 4, ¶ [0032]).

Regarding claim 13, Hautamaki discloses message includes a cause specifying signaling data transfer requirements (See e.g. Different qualities of service specify different delays for the transfer of packets between different ends of the connection, different bit rates, and the number of packets rejected may be different in connections with different qualities of service, Page 1, ¶ [0005]).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Lee (U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0155853 A1), which discloses System And Method For Transmitting Data On a Reverse Link Channel.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,766

Art Unit: 2681

b) Jiang (U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0044527 A1), which discloses Channel efficiency Based Packet

Scheduling For Interactive Data In Cellular Network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be

directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on

Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Feild, Joseph can be reached @ (571) 272-4090. The fax number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Afshar

ERIKA A. GARY PRIMARY EXAMPLE Page 5